IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CR. REV. No.941 of 2005
ABHAY KUMAR
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR & ORS
---

3. 20.8.2008. Heard the learned counsel for both the parties.

Vide order dated 22.9.2005 passed by Principal Judge, East Champaran, Motihari in Maintenance Case No.140 of 2005, the petitioner has been directed to pay interim maintenance of Rs.2500/- to the opposite party no.2 and her daughter.

The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is not in a position to pay such huge amount as interim maintenance. He is not in any employment. However, the learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 submitted that the father in another case has already admitted that the petitioner is working as Civil Engineer.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is directed to pay Rs.2,000/- per month as interim maintenance instead of Rs.2500/- from the date of an application i.e. 28.2.2005.

With above modification in quantum of maintenance, this application stands rejected.

(Ghanshyam Prasad)

N.H./